

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/820,574	FAWLEY, NORMAN C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David E. Bochna	3679	

All Participants:

(1) David E. Bochna.

(2) Thomas Coester.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,4,10,12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A phone call was made by the Examiner to propose adding the language of claim 4 into all independent claims in order to put the case in condition for allowance. Mr. Coester agreed to the change and the change was incorporated through an Examiner's Amendment..